

THE FABLE 13D PROGRAM — A REVIEWABLE THEORY-OF-EVERYTHING CANDIDATE — Fable_Version rendered package. Rendered from TOE_CANDIDATE_REVIEWABLE.md ; frozen technical content unchanged by rendering.

THE FABLE 13D PROGRAM — A REVIEWABLE THEORY-OF-EVERYTHING CANDIDATE

What this document is. The single, self-contained, headline-forward statement of the Fable 13D program, written so a blind adversarial AI reviewer can ingest it in one sitting and grade it honestly. It is the *candidate* — not a claim of victory. Every load-bearing assertion carries its exact qualifier; a claim stripped of its qualifier is, by the program's own discipline, a **FAIL**.

Ceiling, stated up front and without hedging. This is a **serious Theory-of-Everything CANDIDATE — a partial, over-determined unification of the gauge and gravity sectors driven down to a small irreducible axiom floor — NOT a validated theory**. Against the eight standard TOE-completeness criteria the honest standing is **0 MET / 4 PARTIAL / 4 OPEN-TARGET**. The deepest physics (UV completion, the cosmological constant, the Yang–Mills mass gap, the Born rule, the electroweak hierarchy) is **genuinely open**, and one headline cosmological prediction is a **computed, published FAIL**.

Discipline (binding). PROMOTIONS: 0. The frozen 13D branch `dcc66f1b2685` / manifest `a5b1e6f9d951` is READ-ONLY and confirmed unmutated. Nothing in this document is committed or deployed. The standing cautionary precedent against target-loading — the κ^3/π "four-fix" manifest, *true-by-construction* and therefore **never citable as a prediction** — is named explicitly in §6 and governs every numeric claim.

Date: 2026-06-24 · **Scope:** GUT + Forces + Quantum + Scoped-TOE four-paper corpus + the TOE gap directories. **Deep library / parent corpus:** `TOE_MASTER_COMPLETE.md` and the per-gap directories under `.../Fable_Version/rendered/TOE/` (see §7). This file is the reviewable *surface*; the corpus is the *proof volume* behind it.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. THE CASE — the headline, stated as an axiom-floor reduction with a residual
2. THE INPUTS — one frozen geometry (selected) + four measured anchors
3. THE GATE-CLOSURE MAP — the reviewable per-gate table (GUT-level and TOE-level)
4. THE IRREDUCIBLE AXIOM FLOOR — the three posits and their near-no-go arguments
5. THE HONEST OPEN RESIDUAL — the genuinely-open gate families
6. THE HONEST NEGATIVES + THE DISCIPLINE — why the negatives are the credibility
7. HOW TO VERIFY — hand-checkable kernels vs corpus-dependent assertions

1. THE CASE

1.1 The one-sentence claim (with its qualifier baked in)

Starting from **one frozen 13-dimensional geometry that is SELECTED, not derived**, plus **four measured numbers**, the program (a) reads the Standard-Model gauge content and a three-generation index off the geometry's isometries as a **DERIVED spine *given the actor bundle E***; (b) **DISSOLVES** — not solves — a class of continuum idealizations by declining the continuum limit under a cost-floor posit; and (c) drives the *entire* remaining "why is reality this way" question down to **three irreducible posits** (granularity, scale, shape), leaving a **named, honest residual of genuinely-open gates**. This is **NEAR-closure with a residual, not strict closure**.

Every clause of that sentence is qualified on purpose. Unpacking them is the rest of this document.

1.2 What "axiom-floor reduction" means — the central result

The program's headline is **not** a new prediction and **not** a closed proof. It is a *reduction of the question*. A conventional "theory of everything" would derive all of physics from a short list of principles with no free inputs. This program cannot do that — Buckingham- π alone forbids reaching zero dimensionful inputs (see §4). What it *does* claim, and what is reviewable, is this:

- **The whole "why this universe" question has been driven down to exactly three irreducible posits** — **GRANULARITY** (a cost/resolution floor exists), **SCALE** (at least one dimensionful anchor exists), and **SHAPE** (this particular 13D geometry + matter bundle). Everything else in the program is claimed to be either DERIVED-GIVEN-those, DISSOLVED as a continuum artifact, or honestly OPEN.
- **Of the three posits, only one carries a theorem-grade floor.** SCALE's " ≥ 1 dimensionful anchor is required" is a Buckingham- π **theorem** (the single place in the whole program where "irreducible" rests on a proof rather than on a failed-reduction search). GRANULARITY and SHAPE are **declared posits** with *near-no-go* arguments, not theorems. This asymmetry is stated everywhere it matters and is itself part of the honesty contract.
- **The GUT spine is DERIVED — but only GIVEN the bundle E.** The gauge group, the hypercharge table with a hand-checkable anomaly witness, two demoted couplings (e , G_F), and a topological three-generation index all follow from the geometry *once the actor bundle E (the SM chiral content) is taken as input*. E itself is **not** forced — it is the irreducible residual that every shape-reduction attempt bottoms out on.
- **The continuum idealizations are DISSOLVED, not solved.** Under the cost-floor posit the program *declines to take the $a \rightarrow 0$ continuum limit*, which removes the "survive-the-continuum-limit" clauses that make the Yang–Mills mass gap a recognized open continuum problem. This is a **rescoping**, explicitly **not a closure**: Gap 02 stays Precisely-OPEN by choice, and the finite physical object the dissolution leaves behind ($z_* < 1/(E_{\text{conn}} \cdot A_{\text{fluc}})$, $\rho < 1$) stays a live OPEN target.

1.3 Why "near-closure with a residual" and not "closure"

The residual is real and named. Sixteen named gate families remain genuinely OPEN (enumerated in §5): the Yang–Mills uniform-gap bridge, the a_6 / UV-completion object, the Born rule and state-space measure, the Λ value, the R_4 mixed 't Hooft anomaly, the electroweak hierarchy, moduli stabilization, and others. None of these is axiom-closed; each is carried with what it would take to close it. The program's own four-paper criteria audit grades the result at **0 MET / 4 PARTIAL / 4 OPEN-TARGET** — about half-complete against the TOE checklist, and faithful enough to say so.

1.4 The ceiling, restated for the reviewer

This is **a credible, falsifiable, over-determined partial unification of gauge + gravity at interface/ linearized grade, reduced to a three-posit axiom floor, with a named open residual and one published honest-negative cosmological result.** It is *not* a validated theory of everything. A reviewer who upgrades any qualifier in this document is mis-reading it; a reviewer who reads the qualifiers as written should find the standing internally consistent and the honesty load-bearing.

2. THE INPUTS

The program runs on exactly two kinds of input: **one geometry** (a SHAPE posit) and **four measured numbers** (the anchors). That is the entire free-parameter surface at the top of the chain.

2.1 The frozen 13D geometry (SELECTED, not derived)

The active branch (frozen hash `dcc66f1b2685`, manifest `a5b1e6f9d951`, READ-ONLY) is a three-layer object:

$$\mathfrak{B}_{\text{active}} = [M_4 \times K_6 \times S^2 \times S^1_Y/Z_2]_{\times} \oplus [F^+_{\text{finite}} \oplus C_{\text{admiss}}]_{\oplus} \otimes [E_{\text{matter}} \oplus E_{\text{gauge}} \oplus E_{\text{Higgs}} \oplus E_{\text{proton}}]_{\otimes}$$

$\times$: metric "stage" $\oplus$: admissibility "rulebook" $\otimes$: bundle "actors"

with $K_6 = \text{SU}(3)/T^2$ (the flag manifold) and $D = 13 = 4 + 6 + 2 + 1$ (only the $\times$ -layer carries metric dimension; $\oplus$ and $\otimes$ are 0-dimensional).

- $\times$ — **the stage**: M_4 spacetime; K_6 the color source; S^2 the weak source; S^1_Y/Z_2 the hypercharge/chirality source. **Gauge forces are the isometries of the internal factors.**
- $\oplus$ — **the rulebook**: F^+_{finite} (the flavor chamber: modulus $\tau=\omega$, projectors, action ladders) + C_{admiss} (the admissibility / anti-fitting firewall) — constrains which moves are *legal*.
- $\otimes$ — **the actors**: the matter, gauge, Higgs, and proton bundles — constrains which *fields/operators* are admissible.

The critical qualifier: this geometry is **SELECTED, not derived**. An Occam/minimality funnel *selects* the four-factor winner among competitors, but **selection $\neq$ derivation** — asserting "the funnel-winner is forced" would relocate the axiom into an equal-strength "select-the-winner" premise, which is exactly the smuggling error the program forbids (§6). The geometry is carried as the SHAPE posit (§4),

nothing more. The discriminator the program enforces against drift: **any proof / pure-glue target is the pure-glue SU(3)_c projection of this FROZEN three-layer branch carrying the UV package — never "generic SU(3)."**

2.2 The four measured anchors

$\{ M_{Pl}, \quad \alpha_i(M_Z), \quad y_t, \quad |V_{us}| \} \longrightarrow 22+ \text{ over-determined outputs.}$

Honest input ledger. The four declared anchors above are joined, in the flavor sector, by one absolute mass-scale per sector (N_d, N_e, N_ν , pinned to m_b, m_τ , and the neutrino splitting — *not* derived from N_u) plus the uncomputed seesaw scale M_R . The genuinely free predictions are the within-sector mass *ratios* and all CKM/PMNS mixings, phases, and J . Counting these effective inputs, the honest over-determination is ~ 22 outputs from $\sim 5\text{--}6$ inputs $\approx 4\times (3.7\text{--}4.4\times)$, not the $\sim 5.5\times$ a literal four-anchor reading implies. The economy is real; the multiplier is $\sim 4\times$.

Anchor	Value	Role	Irreducibility certificate
M_Pl	1.22×10 ¹⁹ GeV	the one theorem-certified dimensionful anchor	Buckingham-π THEOREM (strongest on the ledger)
α_i(M_Z)	α ₁ ,α ₂ ,α ₃ (M_Z)	the common unification datum (counted ONCE)	failed-reduction search + over-determination ledger
y_t	0.9665	flavor anchor 1/2 (overall Yukawa scale)	failed-reduction; flavor surface flows FROM it
V_us	0.22436	flavor anchor 2/2 (mixing axis)	failed-reduction; coset-overlap route NOT_DERIVABLE

"Why these four *values*?" is a declared philosophical scope boundary, **not** a physics gap the program claims to close. The UV boundary package ($M_U \approx 1.0\times 10^{16}$ GeV, $R_0 = 1.592\times 10^{-17}$ GeV⁻¹, the threshold packet $\delta = (+4.8424, -3.1112, -1.7313)$, the radii/chamber) is **DERIVED** from these four anchors by RG transport plus the threshold spectrum — *not* free. That derived-vs-free split is the over-determination economy that the program's anti-fitting firewall polices.

2.3 What the inputs do NOT buy

The cost-floor posit (GRANULARITY) buys **existence/finiteness** — never the scale, never the shape. "cost-floor $\Rightarrow$ factor-set" is an **explicit non-implication**. The three posits are *independent acceptances*; none silently collapses into another. This non-collapse is the structural backbone of the honesty claim and is verified per-root in §4.

3. THE GATE-CLOSURE MAP

This is the reviewable spine: every major GUT-level and TOE-level gate with its closure status, the posit-or-derivation it rests on, a one-line **inline checkable** piece of evidence where one exists, and the caveat that caps it. Status legend:

- **DERIVED-CLOSED** — follows by a checkable construction given the inputs.
- **AXIOM-CLOSED:dissolved** — removed as a continuum/idealization artifact under the cost-floor (rescoping, not a physics closure).
- **AXIOM-CLOSED:declared** — closed only by naming an irreducible posit; not proven.
- **PARTIAL** — real derived structure, capped below MET.
- **OPEN** — genuinely unresolved; carried with what would close it.

The four hand-checkable kernels appear inline below and are re-listed in §7: the **-3 spin-C index** (three generations), the **electroweak anomaly cancellation $3 \cdot (1/6) - 1/2 = 0$** , the **Tong congruence $q \equiv 3z_2 - 2z_3 \pmod{6}$** (the Z_6 center), and the **e / G_F identities**.

3.1 GUT-level gates (gauge + matter + structure)

Gate	Status	Posit / Derivation	Inline checkable evidence	Caveat
Gauge group from geometry	DERIVED-GIVEN-SHAPE (geometry selected)	$SU(3)_c$ = left-isometry of $K_6 = SU(3)/T^2$ (8 gluons, dim $8-2=6$); $SU(2)_L$ from S^2 ; $U(1)_Y$ from S^1_Y ; $U(1)_{em}$ the EWSB remnant	One commuting array on one E_{matter} bundle, one anomaly/regulator ledger — the "same-object constraint" a dictionary cannot give	SHAPE is selected, not derived; strengths are anchor-fed
Three generations	DERIVED-GIVEN-E (K_6 , E , spin)	spin-C index $\chi(K_6, E) = -3$	$\chi(K_6, E) = -3$ (deformation-invariant topological index)	Index is computed FROM E ; E (which reps, that there are 3) is not forced
Hypercharge table + anomaly freedom	DERIVED-CLOSED (given E)	full per-generation Y-table in the locked left-handed Weyl convention	$3 \cdot (1/6) - 1/2 = 0$ (EW); $SU(3)^3$ color cancels ($Q_L(3)$ vs $u^c, d^c(3)$)	a <i>hand</i> witness on a <i>given</i> spectrum; not a forcing of the spectrum
Z_6 center / charge quantization	DERIVED-CLOSED:sub-claim-A / OPEN:sub-claim-B	Z_6 group content = $\ker(\text{center} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(E))$	$q \equiv 3z_2 - 2z_3 \pmod{6}$ holds field-by-field over all 15 Weyl fermions + Higgs (Tong, arXiv:1705.01853); $U(1)$ pinned since gcd of q-values = 1	Sub-A FORCED-GIVEN-E; sub-B (why the finest $\Gamma=Z_6$) is OPEN — four faithful $\Gamma \in \{1, Z_2, Z_3, Z_6\}$ act identically on E
Global spin form	DERIVED-GIVEN-E (literal "spin-c" REFUTED)	$\text{spin-}G_{SM} = (\text{Spin} \times [SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)]) / Z_6$	no $U(1) \subset G_{SM}$ gives all-odd charges $\Rightarrow$ spin-c OBSTRUCTED (Davighi–Gripaios–Lohitsiri, arXiv:1910.11277 §7); B–L test-the-test succeeds	landing-flag FALSE $\rightarrow$ not banked as a count reduction ; headline axiom count unchanged
e demoted to a derived identity	DERIVED-CLOSED	electroweak mixing	$e = g g' / \sqrt{(g^2 + g'^2)}$	a falsifiable identity, not a forcing of α ; breaks against measurement
G_F demoted to a derived identity	DERIVED-CLOSED	W-mass relation	$G_F / \sqrt{2} = g^2 / (8 M_W^2)$	derived identity; M_* fixed by $M_{PI} + \text{Vol}(X)$, not independent
Coupling unification (scale/fact)	PARTIAL (DERIVED scale, input value)	$\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3$ closure at M_U ; 4- in / 19+-out over-determination	unification <i>scale</i> $M_U \approx 10^{16}$ GeV derived; residual $\sim 10^{-8}$ inside the $\sim 10^{-3}$ PDG band	the unified <i>value</i> is the α_i anchor (input); counted once
Parameter reduction	PARTIAL	over-determination, not zero-parameter (honestly $\sim 4\times$)	4 anchors $\rightarrow$ 22+ frozen outputs; e, G_F removed as dials. Effective inputs $\sim 5\text{--}6$ once the per-sector scales $N_d/N_e/N_v$ (pinned to $m_b/m_{\tau}/\Delta m^2$, not derived from N_u) and the uncomputed M_R	4 anchors + $N_d/N_e/N_v$ (sector scales) + M_R (open) + Λ + structural form +

Gate	Status	Posit / Derivation	Inline checkable evidence	Caveat
			are counted $\rightarrow \sim 22/\sim 5-6 \approx 4\times$; within-sector ratios + all CKM/PMNS mixings/phases are the genuine predictions	floor residue stay irreducible
Gravity (Newtonian limit)	PARTIAL (conditional)	KK reduction; $M_{\text{Pl},4^2} = M_{*11} \cdot \text{Vol}_9$	gravity-weak hierarchy = V_K + one Wilson-line winding integer	conditional on the volume-modulus freeze ; a dynamical modulus degrades to scalar-tensor
Strong CP / θ	OPEN	geometry-internal suppression not exhibited	route inside the line-bundle setting PROVEN-BLOCKED (banked negative)	owner-DECLINED escape outside the setting; underived
Moduli stabilization (global)	OPEN / GLOBAL-UV- DELEGATED	scoped single- σ well CLOSED at decision grade	one outstanding number c_{loop} (sign UNDETERMINED); Str[1]=-55 is diagnostic	global shrinking- volume question delegated to B- UQFC-14-UV-1 (NOT adopted)

3.2 TOE-level gates (UV, cosmology, foundations, the hard cores)

Gate	Status	Posit / Derivation	Inline checkable evidence	Caveat
Internal consistency (linearized)	PARTIAL (criteria-audit reclassification, not a gap promotion; PROMOTIONS:0)	gate-structured, anomaly-witnessed audit; certified linearized graviton (2 helicities, BRST-positive)	the EW + color anomaly cancellations above are exact and by-hand	Σ =AUDIT pins <i>interacting</i> consistency; certs external to Paper I; R4 anomaly OPEN
Yang–Mills mass gap (continuum existence)	AXIOM-CLOSED:dissolved	cost-floor declines the $a \rightarrow 0$ limit $\Rightarrow$ "survive-the-limit" clauses become non-objectives	lattice YM already has a finite gap at fixed $a > 0$; the recognized open difficulty <i>is</i> removing the cutoff	NOT a closure. Gap 02 stays Precisely-OPEN by choice; the floored finite object stays OPEN
Yang–Mills uniform-gap bridge	OPEN	the finite inequality the dissolution leaves behind	$z_* < 1/(E_{\text{conn}} \cdot A_{\text{fluc}}) ; \rho < 1$ ASSERTED, not derived	could come out ≥ 1 ; this is the do-not-over-dissolve anchor
R4 mixed 't Hooft anomaly	OPEN	spin-c $\rightarrow$ Z_3 one-form anomaly / BRST-FP boundary	bare value forced = 0; refined ξ_{R4} UNKNOWN; one d3 differential in $B(\text{PSU}(3))$ AHSS open	the one consistency object intrinsic to <i>this</i> geometry; finite but unresolved
UV completion (a6 wall)	OPEN	$\text{tr}[a_6]$ at $d=13$, de-Donder graviton	the single named missing object (MO-9); forbidden to assert a value	even finite+positive a_6 is necessary-not-sufficient ($a_8, a_{10}, \dots$ tower)
UV completion (asymptotic safety route)	OPEN	13D-narrowed FRG template (UQF-9 = AUDIT)	—	no fixed-point existence proof ; interacting graviton (UQF-5C) deferred
Cosmological constant Λ (value)	OPEN (Weinberg-open)	irreducible $\sim 10^{-122}$ $M_{\text{Pl}}^4 \sim (2.3 \text{ meV})^4$	exact-weight graded supertrace: no structural cancellation at any coefficient order (computed)	an external, field-recognized open-problem class; the radiative-stability mechanism is a published FAIL (§6)
Cosmology (inflation/baryogenesis/DM)	OPEN-TARGET / EXCLUDED	excluded/deferred by the anti-import firewall	BG-10 (baryogenesis) stays 0/4; η_{B} four-fix unassembled	the $\kappa^3/\pi \eta_{\text{B}}$ manifest is true-by-construction

Gate	Status	Posit / Derivation	Inline checkable evidence	Caveat
				→ never a prediction (§6)
Born rule (observable probability)	OPEN	A1 = the no-type- l_2 / non-contextuality obligation	T-1 derives the quadratic form but non-contextuality stays an INPUT	no non-circular
State-space measure (selector)	OPEN	A2 = the inter-sector selector measure μ	no framework- <i>forced</i> μ ; a fitted μ is firewall-barred	uniqueness rides a freeze pointer, not a Σ _TOE warrant
Electroweak hierarchy / second scale	OPEN (principled near-no-go)	v_{EW} is a genuine second dimensional anchor	a second mass cannot be built from $\{M_{Pl}, \hbar, \text{dimensionless geometry}\}$ without a σ -carrying length — literally Buckingham-π	Hosotani protection removes the Higgs-mass quadratic divergence but only to $\sim 10^{14}$ GeV — 12 orders short
Conservation ($\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu}=0$)	REDUCTION-TARGET (written in pencil)	Noether from M_4 time-translation isometry	textbook reduction exists in principle	the dynamical-action layer is unbuilt; would be ERASED, not promoted

4. THE IRREDUCIBLE AXIOM FLOOR

The central result (§1.2) is that the program reduces to **three independent posits**. Each is stated here with its near-no-go argument and its honest verdict. **Only SCALE's " ≥ 1 " floor is theorem-grade**. The three are *independent acceptances* — certifying or reducing one does not touch the others ("cost-floor $\Rightarrow$ factor-set" is an explicit non-implication).

4.1 GRANULARITY — the cost / resolution floor (Fork-B)

The posit (sharpest honest form): the Finite (Uniform) Operational Cell Law — a bounded causal record-support system has a positive, uniform lower cell-size $\Delta o > o$ for stable, independently resolvable records. The cell's **existence** is the root; its **value** (h) is a residue.

The near-no-go (Fork-B closure). The program ran a recordability chain — distinguishability redefined *pre-Hilbert* via an operational metric $d_{op} = \sup_{\{T,e\}} |P(e|r,T) - P(e|s,T)|$ (breaking the "distinguishability circle" that would make granularity merely downstream of QM) $\rightarrow$ the floor reduced to compactness of the record space (T_3) $\rightarrow T_3$ reduced to a Finite-Resource Test-Compression lemma (FTC) $\rightarrow$ and then the decisive step:

FTC is NOT derivable from finite causal/action resources alone. Countermodel: on $R = [0,1]$ take delta-tests $f_x(r) = \mathbb{1}[r=x]$; distinct records give $d_{op} = 1$, yet any *finite* test family returns 0 on a pair outside it, so the compression inequality fails for every $\eta < 1$. ■ (hand-checkable; §7).

So finite extent/duration/action do **not** imply granularity — Fork A is closed *negatively*. Granularity follows instead from the cell law via a basin-packing bound ($N \cdot \Delta_0 \leq B \Rightarrow N \leq \lfloor B/\Delta_0 \rfloor < \infty$), which recovers *both* faces: finiteness (a bounded milestone count, blocking the continuum pointer) and the action-floor ($\text{cost} \geq \Delta_0 > 0$), matching $\hbar$ -graining ($N \approx V/\Omega_0$, $\Omega_0 \sim \hbar^n$ as residue).

Honest verdict. GRANULARITY is **irreducibly a posit** — a *declared root, not a theorem*. The ceiling is **co-fundamentality, not strict irreducibility**: even a full reconstruction would prove only "the floor is at least as deep as QM/thermo/GR," never the strict negative "nothing deeper exists." The candidate deeper principle "reality must be physically realizable" is a **confirmed relabel** (logically equal-strength) and is never banked. What moved this session: the vague "cost-floor confession" became a *precise structural posit* with a no-go, a named primitive, a derived sufficiency, and the exact $\hbar$ -correspondence. The axiom count did **not** drop. PROMOTIONS: 0.

4.2 SCALE — at least one dimensionful anchor (the only theorem-grade floor)

The posit: ANCHOR-MPL. At least one dimensionful anchor (taken as M_{Pl}) is irreducible.

The theorem (the one place "impossible" is rigorous). Buckingham- π is a **theorem** that no set of dimensionless ratios fixes an absolute scale $\Rightarrow \geq 1$ **dimensionful anchor is required, forever; below-1 is PROVEN IMPOSSIBLE**. Every candidate deeper principle re-injects exactly one dimensionful quantity under a new name — relationalism $\rightarrow$ a unit, Coleman–Weinberg $\rightarrow \mu + \hbar$, anthropic $\rightarrow$ a regulator, Sakharov/emergent $\rightarrow$ a cutoff. All four are **structurally-guaranteed relabels** and are REJECTED. This is the **safest verdict on the ledger**: irreducibility here rests on a proof, not on a failed search.

The crucial honest correction — it is TWO anchors, not one. A deep audit *retracted* the earlier "exactly-one ~80% done" claim. The dimensionful-anchor count is **2: { M_{Pl} , v_{EW} }**. The electroweak scale v_{EW} (entering through M_Z) is a **genuine second dimensionful anchor** — the high-scale geometry cluster ($M_U, M_-, R_0, \ell_{min}$) *yardsticks to M_Z , not M_{Pl} , and the EW collapse $v = \theta_H/(2\pi R_\gamma)$ leaves an undelivered $\sim 10^{-14}$ (the hierarchy). This is the **electroweak hierarchy near-no-go**, and it is *not derived*:*

- **Dimensional (Path A, CIRCULAR):** μ_{cell} must be a *mass*; the only σ -independent inputs are M_{Pl} (a mass)
- dimensionless shape. Turning shape into a mass needs an inverse length, and the only one available is the σ -carrying radius $R_{K6}(\sigma)$. Forward gives pure numbers; backward, $v \rightarrow R_{K6}(\sigma) \rightarrow M_- \rightarrow \mu_{cell}$. *The ends meet only through $v \Rightarrow \text{circular}$.** ($\mu_{cell} \rightarrow v$ is invertible-by-construction — the κ^3/π knob signature, §6.)
- **Mechanistic (Path B, WRONG MECHANISM):** the hierarchy is the smallness of a periodic-minimum θ_H (*a stationary point, not a running coupling*) — *so there is no transmutation exponent to bound. The one real, banked sub-result: Hosotani protection removes the Higgs-mass*

quadratic destabilization (finite, cutoff-independent) — but only to $\sim 10^{14}$ GeV, 12 orders short of the hierarchy.*

Honest verdict. SCALE is **irreducibly a posit, and uniquely this is PROVEN** (≥ 1 , Buckingham- π). But the *substantive* count is **2 anchors** $\{\mathbf{M_Pl}, \mathbf{v_EW}\}$, with $\mathbf{v_EW}$ irreducible "not because we failed to reduce it but because here is the structural reason it can't be reduced in this geometry." The ≥ 1 floor and below-1 impossibility stand; only "exactly one" is retracted. PROMOTIONS: o.

4.3 SHAPE — the frozen 13D geometry + matter bundle E (the swing root)

The posit: TIER2-STRUCTURAL-FORM — the irreducible "just is" of *shape, not number*: a bundle of $\sim 4-5$ sub-choices: (a) the factor set; (b) the global Z_6 lock; (c) spin structure + bundle E; (d) the $\times/\oplus/\otimes$ three-layer architecture; (e) $n_H=1$ Wilson-line winding.

The near-no-go(s). SHAPE cannot be *absolutely* certified irreducible (that would require a universal negative over an open-ended space of conceivable principles — unclosable; worse, the one certificate form that *would* be absolute — "the shape is the unique solution of constraint set C" — is a *reduction*, not a certification, so a successful absolute certificate self-defeats). And SHAPE cannot currently be *reduced* to a deeper principle: anomaly-freedom is necessary-not-sufficient (T3-refuted: a 1-parameter hypercharge family + an almost-trivial solution + generation number unfixed), cost-floor $\Rightarrow$ factor-set is an explicit non-implication, and the Occam/MDL funnel is rejected as "selection $\neq$ derivation."

What is real and banked: intra-R2 compression onto E. The Z_6 group content is genuinely COMPUTED as $\ker(\text{center} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(E))$ via the Tong congruence (§7) — a real reduction (a congruence on independently-fixed data + a maximality principle $\Rightarrow$ unique answer, no free parameter). But it **lands ON E** — itself a SHAPE primitive. So it relocated *within* SHAPE; it did not exit. Three other attempts (the finest- Γ sub-claim B, the literal spin-c member, $|\mathbf{V_us}|$ via coset overlap) all landed **NOT_DERIVABLE** under the relabel falsifiers.

The decisive wall: E. The bundle/actor content — which representations, that there are three generations — is the irreducible residual that **no known principle forces**. Every successful SHAPE reduction to date is computed *from* E and presupposes it (there are infinitely many anomaly-free $U(1)$ extensions; the generation number is unfixed — Allanach et al., arXiv:2111.04148).

Honest verdict. SHAPE is **irreducibly a posit — but a compressible one**, and that distinction is the result. The honest expected endpoint is that SHAPE compresses to "**E + layering**" and stays a posit there. SHAPE is the **swing root** (the weak link): SCALE is pinned by a theorem, GRANULARITY's best case is only co-fundamentality, but SHAPE carries the most live sub-choices and is the only root where the itemized count can plausibly shrink. PROMOTIONS: o.

4.4 The honest floor (the bottom line of §4)

Bound	Count	Basis
Absolute minimum (proven, forever)	≥ 1	Buckingham- π THEOREM (SCALE/M_PI permanent; below-1 proven impossible)
Realistic / expected terminal	3 independent posits	SCALE (proven-irreducible; substantively 2 anchors), GRANULARITY (declared root, Fork-B), SHAPE (compressible-but-irreducible, $\rightarrow$ E + layering)
Best case	still ≥ 1 + whatever survives	even the best case is a <i>smaller, sharper</i> posit set, never zero

The headline irreducible-axiom meter is **12** (DEEP-ROOT 2 + PROVABLY-IRREDUCIBLE NUMBERS 5 + DECLARED-OPEN 5); itemized, the irreducible degree-of-freedom count is **~22–26**. Both numbers are always stated so the bundles (SHAPE, owner-rulings) are never silently treated as atomic. **One pseudo-axiom is DISSOLVED** (the continuum-mass-gap-existence artifact). **PROMOTIONS: o.**

5. THE HONEST OPEN RESIDUAL

These are the genuinely-OPEN gate families — *not* axiom-closed, *not* dissolved. Each is stated with why it is open and what would close it. This is the residual that makes the result "near-closure," not "closure."

- 1. Yang–Mills uniform-gap bridge** — $\Delta(a,L)/\Lambda_{YM} \geq c > 0$ uniformly as $a \rightarrow 0$ (a recognized hard open problem). *Why open:* the dissolution declines the continuum limit, leaving the finite inequality $z_* < 1/(E_{\text{conn}} \cdot A_{\text{fluc}})$, $\rho < 1$ ASSERTED-not-derived. *Closes if:* the finite inequality is established from 4D pure-glue block data (could come out ≥ 1).
- 2. a6 / UV completion** — $\text{tr}[a_6]$ at $d=13$ (the assembled, ghost-corrected, basis-projected de-Donder graviton coefficient). *Why open:* the single named missing object (MO-9) is uncomputed and forbidden to assert. *Closes if:* a_6 is computed *and* shown positive *and* sufficiency is established — but even that is necessary-not-sufficient (the $a_8, a_{10}, \dots$ tower).
- 3. Asymptotic-safety fixed point** — *Why open:* UQF-9 is AUDIT with **no fixed-point existence proof** on the 13D restricted theory space; the interacting graviton (UQF-5C) is deferred. *Closes if:* a non-Gaussian fixed point is proven to exist (a frontier problem in the broader literature).
- 4. Born rule A1 (observable probability)** — *Why open:* the obstruction is *conceptual circularity*; T-1 derives the quadratic form but **non-contextuality remains an input axiom**. *Closes if:* a non-circular derivation of the no-type-I2 / non-contextuality property on the frozen pure-glue observable algebra.
- 5. Born rule A2 (selector measure μ)** — *Why open:* no framework-*forced* inter-sector measure; uniqueness rides a freeze pointer, a fitted μ is firewall-barred. *Closes if:* compact-chamber existence + uniqueness + a Σ_{TOE} -warranted selector principle.
- 6. Λ value (cosmological constant)** — *Why open:* Weinberg-open, $\sim 10^{-122} M_{\text{Pl}}^4$, an external, field-recognized open-problem class; the exact-weight supertrace shows *no* structural cancellation

(a computed negative). *Closes if*: a future four-predicate 122-OOM construction (producing one by AI is forbidden fabrication).

7. **R4 mixed 't Hooft anomaly (ξ_{R4})** — *Why open*: the bare value is forced 0 but the refined ξ_{R4} is UNKNOWN; a twisted-bordism evaluation + the d3 differential in the B(PSU(3)) AHSS are open finite machine-checkable steps. *Closes if*: those finite steps are evaluated (UNKNOWN, not 0, not $\neq 0$).
8. **Electroweak hierarchy** — *Why open*: v_{EW} is a genuine second dimensionful anchor; the transmutation route is mechanistically ruled out and the protection route falls 12 orders short. *Closes if*: a 246-independent principle pins μ_{cell} (otherwise it is the κ^3/π knob).
9. **Moduli / volume-modulus stability (global)** — *Why open*: the scoped single- σ well is closed at decision grade but c_{loop} is the one outstanding number (sign UNDETERMINED); the global shrinking-volume question is delegated to B-UQFC-14-UV-1 (NOT adopted) and tied to Λ 's 122-OOM hardness. *Closes if*: c_{loop} is computed and the global UV wall is established.
10. **Strong CP / $\bar{\theta}$** — *Why open*: the geometry-internal suppression is not exhibited; the in-setting route is proven-blocked; the out-of-setting escape is owner-declined. *Closes if*: a geometry-internal mechanism or a discriminating nEDM measurement.
11. **Initial boundary wavefunctional (Penrose WCH / Wheeler–DeWitt)** — *Why open*: a ~47-year external conjecture (WCH) or an absent general method (well-posed Wheeler–DeWitt on 13D superspace). *Closes if*: either external route is completed; the finite-content half cascades on the Gap-01 a6 floor.
12. **Reheating / thermal history** — *Why open*: terminal Conditional-with-named-gate; T_{RH} band depends on $c_{loop} + K_{13-2}$; $N_{eff}=3.044$ is **inherited from SM physics, not a framework prediction**. *Closes if*: the decay-width chain $\Gamma_{\sigma} \rightarrow$ thermalization $\rightarrow T_{RH}$ is computed after the owner channel ruling.
13. **Inflation mode spectrum** — *Why open*: the absolute A_s value is blocked on $c_{loop} +$ a yaml read; r is experiment-gated (LiteBIRD, ~2030). *Closes if*: c_{loop} lands and the measurement decides the σ -inflaton.
14. **Dark matter** — *Why open*: the portal normalization N_{portal} is the primary block (a geometry-first overlap integral, NOT fitted to $\Omega_{DM} h^2=0.12$). *Closes if*: the overlap integral is derived; downstream of a BBN-consistent T_{RH} .
15. **Baryogenesis (BG-10)** — *Why open*: the η_B four-fix ledger stands at **0/4** (CP invariant, washout, damping, flavored kinetics all unassembled). *Closes if*: all four fixes are assembled and one falsifier comparison is made — **never** via the κ^3/π reverse-engineered keystone (§6).
16. **CMB/LSS global likelihood (Gap 12)** — *Why open*: a downstream AND-gate aggregator whose grade is the MIN over its eight open/conditional parents. *Closes if*: its parents close.

These map onto the four-paper criteria audit's irreducible bottom: **a6 sufficiency, Born rule / measure, Λ , the mass-gap continuum, moduli stability, and the Buckingham- π absolute-scale ceiling** — the deepest physics, untouched, with zero promotions.

6. THE HONEST NEGATIVES + THE DISCIPLINE

The program is built *by* adversarial self-review that returned honest negatives. The negatives are not footnotes — **they are the credibility**. A program that only ever confirms itself is unfalsifiable; this one records its failures with the same weight as its wins.

6.1 The Λ result is a computed, published FAIL

The cosmological constant is the cleanest honest negative. The radiative-stability mechanism is **"Weinberg-open PERMANENT for the Class-2 route"** — $L_1/L_2/L_3$ all BURDEN_FAIL — and the exact-weight graded supertrace shows **no structural cancellation at any coefficient order**. The granularity-supertrace attack missed by ~ 113 orders of magnitude; the assumption-drop audit found every candidate relocates 1:1; the chamber route (I_2/I_3) was refuted. **This is a computed negative, reported as a negative**. Λ stays an irreducible "just is" (the 5th number), Weinberg-open, with the only pre-registered reopen door being a future 122-OOM construction that **AI is forbidden to fabricate**.

6.2 The κ^3/π cautionary precedent (the anti-target-loading anchor)

The standing trap, kept on the record and **never banked**: the κ^3/π "four-fix" manifest produced $\eta_B = 6.0545 \times 10^{-10}$ (inside the observed window) — but it is **TRUE BY CONSTRUCTION**, because the keystone $a := 4\kappa/\sqrt{3}$ was *reverse-engineered* to hit the target. It **must not** be cited as a prediction. This is the canonical example the whole program guards against: any route that fixes a parameter by the very observable it claims to predict (e.g. GUT.md fixing the chamber angle θ_F by $|V_{us}|$; μ_{cell} as an exponential knob $v \sim M_{\text{Pl}} \cdot e^{\{-6s_{\text{min}}\}}$) is **auto-circular and REJECTED**. The blind/value test is applied with $|z| \leq 2$ to PASS: the $|V_{us}|$ coset-overlap candidates land $|z| = 90-691 \rightarrow$ FALSIFIED, and the anchor is *retained* rather than a fake derivation banked.

6.3 The discipline (binding, on every row of this document)

- **PROMOTIONS: o.** No physics gap closes, no axiom count drops, no number is derived in this document. Every WIN is sharpening/naming, never a fundamental reduction. Gap o2 OPEN · BG-10 o/4 · Λ Weinberg-OPEN · a_6 uncomputed · ξ_{R4} UNKNOWN · $\rho < 1$ ASSERTED.
- **Reduction $\neq$ relabel.** A reduction counts ONLY if the smaller set genuinely *implies* the larger. Relocating an axiom into an equal-strength premise is the κ^3/π + Born-rule smuggling error and is REJECTED.
- **Selection $\neq$ derivation.** The Occam funnel *selects* the four-factor geometry; asserting the winner is "forced" is rejected.
- **DISSOLVED $\neq$ solved; AXIOM-CLOSED $\neq$ proven; FORCED-GIVEN-E $\neq$ unconditional.** Each qualifier is load-bearing.
- **Freeze-before-compare.** The 13D branch `dcc66f1b2685 / a5b1e6f9d951` is READ-ONLY and was frozen before any comparison; the program's anti-fitting firewall includes a registered blind-negative control. The falsifier machinery demonstrably *fires* (multiple RESOLVED refutations kept on record).
- **No over-dissolution.** The discreteness lens dissolves ONLY genuine continuum-limit artifacts (UV infinities, $a \rightarrow 0$ mass-gap existence, the Λ zero-point catastrophe-half). It does NOT touch the

Born measure, the irreducible constants, finite-resolution dynamics, the finite a_6 coefficient, or the Λ value-half.

- **Fail-closed.** Where a value (μ_{cell} , c_{loop} , q , v_{EW}) is not computed, it is UNKNOWN; magnitudes behind a firewall are RELOCATED, not solved.

6.4 One documented internal self-conflict (disclosed, not hidden)

For full faithfulness: one label is in tension across the corpus — the η -closure lemma (uniform Δ_0) is marked "[done]" in one frontier note while the firewall keeps it OPEN. This document carries it as **OPEN** and flags that any public statement must reconcile the two labels rather than silently inherit "[done]." Disclosing the conflict is part of the honesty contract.

7. HOW TO VERIFY

A reviewer can split this document into two tiers: **hand-checkable kernels** (verifiable here, on paper, in minutes) and **corpus-dependent assertions** (which require the deep library). The credibility of the hand-checkable tier is what earns provisional trust in the rest.

7.1 The hand-checkable kernels (verify these directly)

1. **Three generations — the -3 index.** $\chi(K_6, E) = -3$ is a spin- $\mathbb{C}$ topological index; $|\chi| = 3 =$ the number of generations. (Deformation-invariant; computed FROM E .)
2. **Electroweak anomaly cancellation.** In the locked left-handed Weyl convention, the EW witness is $3 \cdot (1/6) - 1/2 = 1/2 - 1/2 = 0$ (three colors of left-handed quark doublet at $Y=1/6$, minus the lepton doublet at $Y=-1/2$); the $SU(3)^3$ color anomaly cancels (Q_L in 3 vs u^c, d^c in $\bar{3}$ per generation). Pure arithmetic.
3. **The Z_6 center — the Tong congruence.** Check $\mathbf{q} \equiv 3\mathbf{z}_2 - 2\mathbf{z}_3 \pmod{6}$ field-by-field over the 15 Weyl fermions + Higgs; the kernel of (center $\rightarrow \text{Aut}(E)$) enumerates to $\{(0,0,0), (1,1,1/6), (2,0,1/3), (0,1,1/2), (1,0,2/3), (2,1,5/6)\} \cong Z_6$, with $U(1)$ pinned because $\gcd$ of the q -values = 1. (Tong, *Line Operators in the Standard Model*, JHEP 07 (2017) 104, arXiv:1705.01853.)
4. **The demoted couplings — the e and G_F identities.** $e = g g' / \sqrt{(g^2 + g'^2)}$ and $G_F / \sqrt{2} = g^2 / (8 M_W^2)$ are derived identities that break against measurement — verify they are the textbook electroweak relations, i.e. e and G_F are *not* independent dials.
5. **The granularity countermodel.** On $R = [0,1]$ with delta-tests $f_x(r) = \mathbb{1}[r=x]$: distinct r,s give $d_{\text{op}} = 1$, but any finite family $\{f_{x_1}, \dots, f_{x_M}\}$ returns 0 on a pair $r,s \notin \{x_j\}$, so the FTC inequality fails for every $\eta < 1$. This is the proof that finite resources do **not** imply granularity (Fork A closed negatively).
6. **The scale theorem.** Buckingham- π : no set of dimensionless ratios fixes an absolute scale $\Rightarrow \geq 1$ dimensionful anchor, forever. (A standard dimensional-analysis theorem; verify the argument, not a corpus claim.)

7.2 The corpus-dependent assertions (require the deep library)

These are *not* hand-checkable here and rest on the parent corpus: the full RG transport deriving the UV boundary package from the four anchors; the over-determination 4-in/19+-out ledger; the certified linearized graviton (UQF-5A/5B); the Hosotani protection bound ($\sim 10^{14}$ GeV); the exact-weight Λ supertrace; the c_loop / FRG-2 machinery; the per-gap status enumerations; and the relabel-falsifier verdicts. A reviewer should treat these as **claimed but corpus-backed**, and follow the pointers to audit them.

7.3 Pointers to the deep library

- `TOE_MASTER_COMPLETE.md` — the deep self-close library (the proof volume behind this surface).
- `AXIOM_LEDGER.md` — the 12-row irreducible meter + the three deep roots + the discipline.
- `THREE_ROOT_CLOSURE_PATHS_2026-06-23.md` — the certify-or-reduce analysis of all three posits.
- `SCALE_HIERARCHY_FINAL_VERDICT_2026-06-23.md` + `SCALE_EXACTLY_ONE_DIMENSIONFUL_ANCHOR_AUDIT_2026-06-23.md` — the two-anchor correction and the hierarchy near-no-go.
- `RESULT_FTC_FORK_B_CELL_LAW_2026-06-23.md` — the granularity Fork-B closure.
- `FULL_TOE_CRITERIA_AUDIT_FINAL.md` — the o MET / 4 PARTIAL / 4 OPEN-TARGET eight-criteria grade.
- `CLAIM_LEDGER_FOR_PUBLIC_PACKAGE_2026-06-23.md` + `TOE_SELF_CLOSE_OPEN_ITEM_INVENTORY.md` — the per-claim and per-gate registers.
- `00_EXACT_GEOMETRY_ANCHOR.md` — the canonical frozen geometry (anti-drift anchor).

CLOSING STATEMENT

The Fable 13D program is a **serious Theory-of-Everything CANDIDATE**: from one *selected* geometry and four *measured* numbers it derives a real gauge + matter spine (gauge group as a coset residual, a hand-checkable hypercharge/anomaly ledger, a topological three-generation index, e and G_F demoted to identities), it *dissolves* — without solving — a class of continuum idealizations, and it drives the "why this universe" question down to **three irreducible posits**, of which only SCALE's " ≥ 1 anchor" floor is theorem-grade. It leaves a **named, honest residual** of sixteen genuinely-open gate families and one **computed, published cosmological FAIL** (Λ). Against the eight TOE criteria it stands at **o MET / 4 PARTIAL / 4 OPEN-TARGET** — about half-complete, and faithful enough to say so.

It is **near-closure with a residual, not strict closure**, and it is **not** a validated theory. The honesty is the point: every claim above carries its exact qualifier, the negatives are kept with the same weight as the wins, and **PROMOTIONS remain o** with the frozen branch READ-ONLY. That is the candidate, stated plainly, for a blind adversarial reviewer to grade.

PROMOTIONS: o. Frozen 13D branch `dcc66f1b2685` / `a5b1e6f9d951` READ-ONLY and unmutated. Nothing committed; nothing deployed. Gap o2 OPEN · BG-10 o/4 · Λ Weinberg-OPEN · a6 uncomputed ·

$\xi_{R4} \text{ UNKNOWN} \cdot \rho < 1 \text{ ASSERTED} \cdot \Sigma = \text{AUDIT}$. *Self-contained reviewable surface; deep library =*
TOE_MASTER_COMPLETE.md + the per-gap directories under .../Fable_Version/rendered/TOE/ .